

**REMARKS**

This Application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed February 7, 2006 (the "Office Action"). The Office Action rejects Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10-14, 18, 20-23, 30 and 36-45. For at least the reasons provided below, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims.

**Section 102 and 103 Rejections**

The Office Action rejects Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 10-14, and 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,990,095 issued to Wu et al. ("*Wu*"). The Office Action also rejects Claims 3, 36-39, and 44 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wu* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,970,458 issued to Lim ("*Lim*"). The Office Action also rejects Claims 18, 21-23 and 40-43 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wu* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,874,041 issued to Burd et al. ("*Burd*"). In addition, the Office Action rejects Claims 20 and 45 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wu* in view of *Burd* and in further view of *Lim*. Applicants submit the attached Declaration Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 swearing behind *Wu*. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that *Wu* may not, alone or in combination, be used to support rejections of any of the pending claims in the Application. Thus Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections of Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10-14, 18, 20-23, 30 and 36-45.

**Allowable Subject Matter**

Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that Claims 15-17 and 46-49 are allowed. Applicants also note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that Claims 4, 6, 8, 9, 24-29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 50, and 51 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. However, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 4, 6, 8, 9, 24-29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 50, and 51 are allowable in that Claims 4, 6, 8, 9 and 50 depend either directly or indirectly from Claim 1; Claims 24-29 and 51 depend either directly or indirectly from Claim 18; Claims 31-32 depend from Claim 30; and Claims 34-35 depend from Claim 33.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants have made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for allowance. For the foregoing reasons, and for other reasons clearly apparent, Applicants respectfully request full allowance of all pending claims.

If the Examiner feels that a telephone conference would advance prosecution of this Application in any manner, the Examiner is invited to contact Chad C. Walters, Attorney for Applicants, at the Examiner's convenience at (214) 953-6511.

Applicants believe no fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
Attorneys for Applicants



Chad C. Walters  
Reg. No. 48,022

Date: May 8, 2006

Correspondence Address:

**Customer Number:**

**05073**